

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 10/672,677 09/26/2003 Robert R. Bly 12873/04651 9805 EXAMINER 24024 08/10/2004 CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP NGUYEN, TUAN N **800 SUPERIOR AVENUE** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1400** CLEVELAND, OH 44114 3751

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Δ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/672,677	BLY, ROBERT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan N. Nguyen	3751
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S	eptember 2003.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters, pre	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat	ion No
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
ttachment(s)	A) [] -4	(DTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/04.	6)	

Application/Control Number: 10/672,677

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the vertical length" in line 7 should be --a vertical length--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Landsberger in view of Guenther '052 and Guenther et al. (hereinafter Guenther '597).

Landsberger discloses commode safety frame comprising first (32, 40) and second (34, 42) circular tube side frames; first (48) and second (50) circular tube rails in releasable communication with the first and second side frames, respectively.

Landsberger discloses the side frames and the rails could be connected by any conventional connecting means (45, 47) (see col. 4, line 45 et seq.). A conventional connecting means with a biased detent protruding from a wall of an inner tubular member through a hole of an outer tube is considered conventional in the art such that shown in Fig. 7 of Guenther '052. Landsberger, however, lacks the transition from a circular tube portion to a non-circular tube portion. Such a feature is disclosed by the Guenther '597 reference. Guenther '597 discloses that any portions of an analogous safety frame can be made round, oval or square (see col. 1, line 58 et seq.).

Art Unit: 3751

Furthermore, FIG. 2 of Guenther '597 a cross section view of the frame in FIG. 1, wherein the frame portion (63) is shown to be circular while the frame portion (61) is shown to be square. The frame portions are shown in FIG. 1 to be from a continuous tube. Therefore, Guenther '597 clearly demonstrates a tubular frame can transition from a circular portion to a non-circular portion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transition any portions of Landsberger frame from a circular portion to a non-circular portion as, for example, taught by Guenther '597. To have the shape of armrest portions (40 and 42), connecting portions (45 and 47) and lower portions (48 and 50) out of non-circular such as square would provide a more comfortable flat surface for resting a user's arms. The position of the aperture and biased detent within these non-circular portions yield no bearing on the overall performance of the frame so long as the portions are connected.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bly, Conrad, Verona, Spiegel, Thomas, and Frank disclose other safety frames.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-9046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen

Art Unit 3751

TN